# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ASHLEY T. ADAMS,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) C.A. No. 05-249-KAJ
INTEGRITY STAFFING SOLUTIONS, et al.,	) ) )
Defendants.	)

# DEFENDANTS DAWN HARPER-SMITH AND CHERYL DENNENY'S JOINT REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTIONS TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Sean P. McDevitt (DSB #2894) Pepper Hamilton, LLP Hercules Plaza, Suite 5100 1313 N. Market Street P.O. Box 1709 Wilmington, DE 19899-1709 (302) 777-6500

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		Pag	2
I.	STATEMENT OF THE NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS		l
II.	LEGAL ARGUMENT		ł

## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

## **CASES**

Al-Kharzraji v. Saint Francis College, 784 F.2d 505 (3d Cir. 1986)	1, 2
Barron v. St. Joseph's University, Civ. A. No. 01-3063, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 122286 (E.D. Pa. 2002)	2
Kachmar v. Sungard Data Systems, Inc., 109 F.3d 173 (3d Cir. 1997)	2
Nelson v. Fleet National Bank, 949 F. Supp. 254 (D. Del. 1996)	2
Sheridan v. E.I. Dupont de Nemours and Co., 100 F.3d 1061 (3d Cir. 1996)	2
<u>STATUTES</u>	
42 U.S.C. § 2000e-1	1, 2
42 U.S.C. §1981	1, 2

Defendants Dawn Harper-Smith ("Harper-Smith") and Cheryl Denneny ("Denneny") jointly respond to Ashley Adams ("Adams") briefs opposing the motions to dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). Adams' claims against Harper-Smith and Denneny should be dismissed because Adams has cited no relevant that contradicts the well-settled law of the Third Circuit which holds that there is no individual liability under Title VII.

#### I. STATEMENT OF THE NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

On April 28, 2005 Adams filed her Complaint against several different companies, as well as Harper-Smith and Denneny, alleging race discrimination and retaliation under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. On May 26, 2005, Denneny filed a Motion to Dismiss on the grounds that there is no individual liability under Title VII. On June 20, 2005, Harper-Smith filed a similar Motion to Dismiss. On November 12, 2005, Adams filed opposition briefs. Denneny and Harper-Smith submit this Reply in response to Adams' opposition briefs.

#### II. LEGAL ARGUMENT

Adams seeks to impose liability on Denneny and Harper-Smith under Title VII, citing Al-Kharzraji v. Saint Francis College, 784 F.2d 505, 518 (3d Cir. 1986) for the proposition that individuals may, in fact, be held liable for Title VII violations. Adams' reliance on this case is misplaced. Al-Kharzraji was specifically limited to the issue of whether an individual defendant could be held liable under § 1981. Here, Adams seeks to impose liability on her Denneny and Harper-Smith under Title VII – an issue that was never addressed by Al-Kharzraji. Consequently, Al-Kharzraji does not support Adams' contention that Ms. Harper-Smith and/or Ms. Denneny can be held individually liable under Title VII.

The Third Circuit's decision in <u>Sheridan v. E.I. Dupont de Nemours and Co.</u>,100 F.3d 1061 (3d Cir. 1996) holds that individuals cannot be held liable under Title VII. <u>See</u>.

Sheridan has been consistently. followed. See, Kachmar v. Sungard Data Systems, Inc., 109
F.3d 173, 184 (3d Cir. 1997) (affirming district court's dismissal of Title VII claims against two individual defendants); Nelson v. Fleet National Bank, 949 F. Supp. 254, 258 (D. Del. 1996)
(finding that plaintiff's manager could not "under any set of facts, be held individually liable for Title VII violations."); Barron v. St. Joseph's University, Civ. A. No. 01-3063, 2002 U.S. Dist.
LEXIS 122286, \*12 (E.D. Pa. 2002) (finding plaintiff could not sustain a claim under Title VII against an individual defendant). Therefore, Harper-Smith's and Denneny's Motions to Dismiss the Complaint should therefore be granted.

Dated: November 22, 2005

Respectfully Submitted,

Sean P. McDevitt (DSB #2894

Pepper Hamilton, LLP

Hercules Plaza, Suite 5100

1313 N. Market Street

P.O. Box 1709

Wilmington, DE 19899-1709

(302) 777-6500

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ASHLEY T. ADAMS,	)	
Plaintiff,	) )	
v.	) C.A. No. 05-249-k	<b>(</b> A)
INTEGRITY STAFFING SOLUTIONS, et al.,	) ) )	
Defendants.	)	

#### **NOTICE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I caused two copies of the foregoing Motion and corresponding Reply Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants Dawn Harper-Smith and Cheryl Denneny's Motions to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint to be served on the date set forth below, via federal express to:

Ashley T. Adams 716 North Barrett Lane Christiana, DE 19702 Plaintiff

John H. Newcomer, Jr., Esquire
Duane Morris LLP
1100 North Market Street
Suite 1200
Wilmington, DE 19801
Attorneys for Defendant Randstad North America, L.P. and "Women of India Nationality"

Dated: November 22, 2005

Sean P. McDevitt